Carlson's Theorem for Harmonic Functions

A. M. TREMBINSKA

Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania 19010, U.S.A.

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received January 31, 1986

Carlson's theorem [1, p. 153] states that an entire function of exponential type less than π must vanish identically if it vanishes at the integers. The problem of extending Carlson's theorem to harmonic functions u(z)was posed in [2], where Boas showed that $u(z) \equiv 0$ provided it vanishes on two parallel lines of lattice points.

Let f(z) = u(z) + iv(z), with u(m) = 0, $-\infty < m < \infty$. By assuming $\{f(m)\} \in l^1$, it can be shown that $f(z) \equiv 0$ provided that u(m+i) = 0 or v(m+i) = 0, $-\infty < m < \infty$ (see [5, pp. 3–9]). In this paper I shall prove that u(z) need only vanish at z = m + i, $-\infty < m < \infty$, in order to vanish identically. The proof relies heavily on the assumption that $\{f(m)\} \in l^1$, for then f(z) has the representation

$$f(z) = f'(0) \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} + f(0) \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} + \frac{z \sin \pi z}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^n f(n)}{n(z-n)}$$

(see [1, p. 221]), and u(m+i) is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$F(x) = \cosh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) e^{inx} + i \sinh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) e^{inx}, \qquad |x| < \pi$$

(see [5, pp. 17–18]). By considering $g(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z}+i)}$, we may restate the main result as follows.

THEOREM. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type less than π , with $\{f(m+i)\} \in l^1$. If Re $f(m) = 0, -\infty < m < \infty$, then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Hence a harmonic function must vanish identically if it vanishes at the integers and belongs to l^1 on a parallel line of lattice points. Note the necessity of the growth restriction: consider the real part of f(z) = iz.

Let us begin by establishing the following two lemmas.

A. M. TREMBINSKA

LEMMA 1. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type τ less than π . Let f(z) = u(z) + iv(z) (u, v, real), $\{f(m)\} \in l^1$, and u(m + i) = 0, $-\infty < m < \infty$. If v(m) = 0, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...,$ then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Since f(z) is of exponential type τ less than π and is bounded at the integers, it follows from Cartwright's theorem [1, p. 180] that f(x) is bounded for all real x. Hence f(z) has the representation

$$f(z) = f'(0) \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} + f(0) \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} + \frac{z \sin \pi z}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^n f(n)}{n(z-n)}$$

[1, p. 221]. Set z = m + i, keeping in mind that f(n) = u(n) and $\sin \pi (m+i) = i(-1)^m \sinh \pi$, to obtain

$$f(m+i) = v'(0)(-1)^{m+1} \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} + iu'(0)(-1)^m \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi}$$
$$+ iu(0) \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^m m}{m^2 + 1} \right] + u(0) \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^m}{m^2 + 1} \right]$$
$$+ im(-1)^m \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^n u(n)}{n(m-n+i)}$$
$$- (-1)^m \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^n u(n)}{n(m-n+i)}.$$

Multiply both summands on the right of the above equation by ((m-n)-i)/((m-n)-i) to obtain

$$f(m+i) = v'(0)(-1)^{m+1} \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} + iu'(0)(-1)^m \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi}$$

+ $iu(0) \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^m m}{m^2 + 1} \right] + u(0) \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^m}{m^2 + 1} \right]$
+ $im \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]}$
+ $m \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]}$
+ $i \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]}$

We then have

$$\operatorname{Re} f(m+i) = u(m+i) = \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[v'(0)(-1)^{m+1} + u(0) \frac{(-1)^m}{m^2 + 1} + m \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} \right],$$

$$\operatorname{Im} f(m+i) = v(m+i) = \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[u'(0)(-1)^m + u(0) \frac{(-1)^m m}{m^2 + 1} + m \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{m[(m-n)^2 + 1]} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} \right].$$

By [4, p. 12] with a = b = 1, $l = \pi$,

$$\frac{(-1)^m}{m^2+1}$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$\frac{\pi \cosh x}{\sinh \pi}, \qquad -\pi \leqslant x \leqslant \pi.$$

Hence,

$$u(0)\frac{\sinh\pi}{\pi}\left[\frac{(-1)^m}{m^2+1}\right]$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$u(0) \cosh x, \qquad -\pi \leq x \leq \pi.$$

Since the convolution of the Fourier coefficients of two functions yields the Fourier coefficients of the product of the functions [3, p. 23], we have that

$$\frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[m \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} \right]$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx}\left[\cosh x\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{u(n)}{n}e^{inx}\right], \qquad -\pi \leq x \leq \pi.$$

Similarly, by [4, p. 13], we have, for a = b = 1, $l = \pi$,

$$\frac{(-1)^m m}{m^2+1}$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$\frac{-i\pi \sinh x}{\sinh \pi}, \qquad -\pi < x < \pi,$$

so that

$$\frac{-\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{n [(m-n)^2 + 1]} \right]$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$i \sinh x \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{u(n)}{n} e^{inx}, \qquad -\pi < x < \pi$$

Assuming v'(0) = 0, we have

$$u(m+i) = \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[u(0) \frac{(-1)^m}{m^2 + 1} + m \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m-n} (m-n) u(n)}{n[(m-n)^2 + 1]} \right]$$

is the mth Fourier coefficient of

$$F(x) = \frac{\sinh \pi}{\pi} \left[\frac{u(0) \pi \cosh x}{\sinh \pi} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{\pi \cosh x}{\sinh \pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{u(n)}{n} e^{inx} \right\} + \frac{i\pi \sinh x}{\sinh \pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{u(n)}{n} e^{inx} \right], \quad -\pi < x < \pi.$$

Simplifying, we find that u(m+i) is the *m*th Fourier coefficient of

$$F(x) = u(0) \cosh x - i \sinh x \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{u(n)}{n} e^{inx} + \cosh x \sum_{n \neq 0} u(n) e^{inx}$$
$$+ i \sinh x \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{u(n)}{n} e^{inx},$$
$$F(x) = \cosh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) e^{inx}, \qquad -\pi < x < \pi.$$

The hypothesis implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}F(x)\,e^{-imx}\,dx=0,\qquad -\infty < m < \infty.$$

234

By the completeness of $\{e^{imx}\}$ $(-\infty < m < \infty)$, over $(-\pi, \pi)$ we have that F(x) is equivalent to zero. Since $\cosh x$ never vanishes, we conclude that $u(n) \equiv 0$. Hence f(n) = iv(n) = 0 for all n, and by Carlson's theorem [1, p. 153] $f(z) \equiv 0$.

If v'(0) does not vanish, then $u(m+i) + ((\sinh \pi)/\pi) v'(0)(-1)^m$ is the *m*th Fourier coefficient of F(x). By hypothesis, u(m+i) = 0 for all *m*. Since the Fourier coefficients of F(x) must approach zero, we must have v'(0) = 0. Now proceed as above and conclude that f(z) is equivalent to zero.

LEMMA 2. With the hypotheses of Lemma 1, if v(m+i) = 0, $-\infty < m < \infty$, then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Consider g(z) = -if(z).

We now prove the main result.

THEOREM. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type τ less than π , with $\{f(m+i)\} \in l^1$. If Re $f(m) = 0, -\infty < m < \infty$, then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Let $g(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z}+i)}$. If g(z) = u(z) + iv(z) (u, v, real), then by [5, p. 18] we have that u(m+i) is the *m*th Fourier coefficient of

$$F(x) = \cosh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) e^{inx} + i \sinh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) e^{inx}, \qquad |x| < \pi.$$
(1)

The hypothesis implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}F(x)\,e^{-imx}\,dx=0,\qquad -\infty< m<\infty,$$

so that F(x) vanishes identically. Therefore the real and imaginary parts of F(x) must vanish, so that we have

$$\cosh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \cos nx - \sinh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) \sin nx = 0$$

$$\cosh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \sin nx + \sinh x \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) \cos nx = 0.$$

Written in matrix form, the above equations become

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \cos nx & -\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) \sin nx \\ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \sin nx & \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) \cos nx \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cosh x \\ \sinh x \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and this system has a nontrivial solution if and only if its determinant vanishes. Hence we have

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \cos nx \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(m) \cos mx + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) \sin nx \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(m) \sin mx = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}u(n)v(m)\cos(n-m)x=0.$$
 (2)

Fix k an integer, and multiply (2) by $\cos kx$ and integrate over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}u(n)\,v(m)\cos(n-m)\,x\cos kx\,dx=0.$$
 (3)

Now the left-hand side of (3) has the value zero whenever $n - m \neq k$. For n - m = k, the integral has the value $\sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} u(n) v(m)$. Hence,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}u(n)v(m)=0, \qquad n-m=k$$

and

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) v(n-k) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$
(4)

Let $U(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) e^{inx}$, $V(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(m) e^{imx}$, $|x| < \pi$. Then (4) implies that

$$U(x) V(x) = 0.$$
 (5)

Squaring (1) and using (5), we have that

$$[F(x)]^{2} = \cosh^{2} x [U(x)]^{2} - \sinh^{2} x [V(x)]^{2} = 0.$$
 (6)

Since F(x) = 0, (1) implies that

$$\cosh x U(x) = -i \sinh x V(x)$$

and

$$\cosh^2 x[U(x)]^2 = -\sinh^2 x[V(x)]^2.$$
 (7)

Substitute (7) into (6) and obtain

$$2\cosh^2 x[U(x)]^2 = 0.$$

Since cosh x never vanishes, we have $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(n) e^{inx} = 0$ and u(n) = 0 for all n. By [5, p. 3], $g(z) \equiv 0$, and so $f(z) \equiv 0$.

When substituting (7) in (6) we may also obtain

$$-2 \sinh^2 x [V(x)]^2 = 0.$$

Hence $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(n) e^{inx} = 0$ and v(n) = 0 for all *n*. By Lemma 1, $g(z) \equiv 0$, and so $f(z) \equiv 0$.

COROLLARY. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type τ less than π , with $\{f(m+i)\} \in l^1$. If Im f(m) = 0, $-\infty < m < \infty$, then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Consider h(z) = -if(z). Now proceed as in the proof of the theorem, and use [5, p. 8] and Lemma 2.

References

- 1. R. P. BOAS, JR., "Entire Functions," Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- R. P. BOAS, JR., A uniqueness theorem for harmonic functions, J. Approx. Theory 5 (1972), 425-427.
- 3. G. H. HARDY AND W. W. ROGOSINSKI, "Fourier Series," Cambridge Univ. Press, London/ New York, 1944.
- 4. F. OBERHETTINGER, "Fourier Expansions," Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 5. A. M. TREMBINSKA, "Variations on Carlson's Theorem," Ph.D. dissertation, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, June 1985.